4-14-06

PTO/SB/21 (04-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

10/633,749

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	August 4, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Ken Yamamoto		
		Art Unit	3682		
		Examiner Name	William C. Joyce		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	6340-000036		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
☐ Fee Transmittal Form ☐ Drawing		g(s)	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
I I Affor Einal		n to Convert to a Proprietary Information		tary Information	
		of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Address	1 1 04-4		
Extension of Time Request				Enclosure(s) identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			
Information Disclosure Statement					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		rks			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C		Attorney Name W.R. Duke Taylor Reg. No. 31,306			
Signature W. (
Date April 13, 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.					
Typed or printed name W. R.Duke Taylor		M1	Express Mail Label No.	EV 853 856 057 US (4/13/2006)	
Signature		Date	April 13, 2006		

process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PATENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

PADEMAP

APR 1 3 2006

10/633,749

Filing Date:

August 4, 2003

Applicants:

Ken Yamamoto

Group Art Unit:

3682

Examiner:

William C. Joyce

Title:

Bearing Apparatus for a Driving Wheel of Vehicle

Attorney Docket:

6340-000036

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Election Restriction dated March 17, 2006, please consider the following.

The Examiner has restricted the application identifying six groups relating to various figures of Applicant's invention. The Examiner states that the disclosed species may be classified together but the diverging subject matter of each disclosed species is considered a serious burden on the Examiner.

However, this is not the criteria for a serious burden. MPEP 803 states "for purpose of initial requirement, a <u>serious burden</u> on the Examiner may be prima fascia shown by appropriate explanation of separate classification, or separate status in the

art, or a different field of search" as defined in MPEP §808.02. Here, the Examiner has

failed to show separate classification, separate status in the art for that a different field

of search is required. In fact, the Examiner indicates that the species are classified

together. Thus, the Examiner has failed to show a prima fascia case that a serious

burden exists. By failing to show that a serious burden exists, the Examiner must

examine all of the alleged species on the merits.

In the event that the Examiner disagrees with Applicant's position, Applicant

elects Group 1 directed to Figures 1-4 which relate to Claims 1, 2, 3 and 9.

Should the Examiner have any additional questions or comments, he should not

hesitate to contact the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 13, 2006

y: <u>しい, し</u>

W. R. Duke Taylor Reg. No. 31,306

P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

(248) 641-1600

WRDT/cls

Serial No. 10/633,749

Page 2 of 2